



REGULATION

**on Counteracting Academic Plagiarism at
Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National
Air Force University**



**The Air Force of the
Armed Forces of Ukraine**

APPROVED

By the decision of the Academic Council
of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air
Force University

Protocol 12.10.2024 №12

REGULATIONS

on Counteracting Academic Plagiarism at Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv
National Air Force University

Enacted by the order of the Commandant of
the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air
Force University 25.10.2024 № 1868

CONTENTS

1. General provisions	3
2. Methods for Preventing Academic Plagiarism	4
3. Detection of academic plagiarism	5
4. Verification of qualification papers of higher education students... 7	
5. Verification of educational and reference publications	8
6. Verification of Dissertations of Candidates for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science	10
7. Verification of scientific articles	11
8. Verification of collections of conference abstracts held at the University	12
9. Verification of academic texts containing restricted access information	12
10. Liability for academic plagiarism and the procedure for filing an appeal	13
11. Payment for the Use of the Anti-Plagiarism system	14
12. University repository	14
13. Final provisions	14
14. Appendix 1	15
15. Appendix 2	16
16. Appendix 3	17

1. General provisions

1.1 This Regulation on Combating Academic Plagiarism at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University (hereinafter – the Regulation) establishes the procedure for detecting academic plagiarism in academic texts.

1.2 This Regulation has been developed in accordance with the laws of Ukraine "On Education", "On Higher Education", "On Copyright and Related Rights", "On Scientific and Scientific and Technical Activities", the Charter of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, "Regulations on the organisation of the educational process at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University ", "Code of Academic Integrity of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University ", "Regulations on Academic Integrity and Ethics of Academic Relations at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University ", "Regulations on the system of internal quality assurance of educational activities and higher education of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University ".

1.3 The following terms are used in the Regulations:

An academic text is an author's work of scientific, scientific-technical, and educational nature.

Academic plagiarism is the publication (in whole or in part) of scientific results obtained by other persons as the results of their own research and/or reproduction of published texts of other authors without attribution.

The uniqueness (originality) of an academic text is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of an academic text's content that has no similarities with other texts to the total volume of an academic text.

An academic text repository is an electronic database in which academic texts are accumulated, stored, and systematized.

1.4 Academic plagiarism includes:

verbatim borrowing of text fragments without formatting them as quotations with reference to the source;

making public (publishing) in whole or in part someone else's academic text under the name of a person who is not the author of that academic text;

using information (facts, ideas, formulas, numerical values, etc.) from a source without proper citation;

paraphrasing the text of a source into a form that is close to the original text, or presenting generalised ideas, interpretations, or conclusions from a particular source without proper citation;

submission as their own works (dissertation research, monographs, textbooks, articles, abstracts, reports, etc.),–performed by other individuals on order, including works for which the true authors have given their consent for such use;

citation errors, namely: the absence of quotation marks when using text fragments borrowed from other sources, despite a correct reference to that source; reference to another source; incorrect formatting of a reference, which complicates finding the

source);

self-plagiarism is making public (in whole or in part) one's own previously published research findings as new research findings.

Typical examples of self plagiarism include: duplication of publications; duplication of scientific results; presentation of results contained in previous works in research project reports as obtained during the implementation of the relevant project; aggregation or addition of data (combining old and new data without their clear identification with appropriate references to previous publications); disaggregation of data (publishing part of previously published data without reference to the previous publication); re-analysis of previously published data without reference to the previous publication.

1.5 The system of preventing and detecting academic plagiarism is a component of the system of internal quality assurance of educational and research activities, as well as the quality of education.

1.6 The Regulation applies to academic texts of all participants who carry out educational and scientific activities at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University (hereinafter – the University).

2. Methods for preventing academic plagiarism

2.1 The main methods to prevent academic plagiarism at the University are:

fostering a "zero-tolerance" culture within the University's academic environment as the most effective method of preventing academic plagiarism;

familiarizing the University's academic, pedagogical, and research staff, and students with regulatory documents regarding the principles of academic integrity and plagiarism prevention;

conducting classes with students to study the requirements for writing written works, emphasising the principles of independent work on written tasks of various types, correct use of information from other sources, avoidance of plagiarism, as well as the rules for describing sources and formatting citations;

determining responsibility for academic plagiarism;

organization of the academic plagiarism detection system's functioning in educational and scientific departments;

creating, disseminating, and ensuring compliance with the guidelines for referencing materials used in academic texts;

signing of the Declaration on adherence to the principles of academic integrity at the university by all participants in the educational process;

verification of academic texts using the StrikePlagiarism.com anti-plagiarism Internet system or similar systems (hereinafter – the Anti-Plagiarism System) for the presence of borrowings from texts present in the University's databases, databases of other educational institutions, and on the Internet

verification of academic texts by designated commissions according to fields of study;
etc.

3. Detection of academic plagiarism

3.1 They are subject to the Anti-Plagiarism System verification procedure at the University:

qualification papers of higher education students of the University who are obtaining a Master's (or Bachelor's) degree ;
educational and reference publications;
dissertations;
scientific articles submitted for publication in scientific periodicals of the University;
collections of abstracts at scientific conferences (seminars, symposia);
other scientific publications developed at the University.

3.2 The task of the Anti-Plagiarism System is to determine the degree of similarity between academic texts and texts contained in the Anti-Plagiarism System databases and in the public domain on the Internet. The system identifies a certain fragment of the text of the analysed work as a borrowing if it coincides with an existing text to which the system has access during the verification.

Papers that contain a significant percentage of borrowings cannot be automatically qualified as containing plagiarism. At the same time, a verification result indicating the absence of borrowings in a work does not provide an absolute guarantee of the absence of plagiarism within it. In accordance with the principles of academic integrity, a reference to the original source must be provided for each piece of text that is quoted in full or with minor changes. If this condition is met, the use of borrowings from other documents may be considered legitimate.

3.3 The Anti-Plagiarism System Administrator serves as the primary contact between the University and the provider of the Anti-Plagiarism System for all technical matters and overall system functionality. This individual also acts as the coordinator of academic text verification processes at the University. The duties of the Anti-Plagiarism System Administrator are assigned to a representative of the Scientific and Methodological Department for Quality Assurance of Educational Activities and Higher Education of the University (hereinafter referred to as the SMD). The Administrator may, if necessary, appoint additional operators of the Anti-Plagiarism System.

3.4 Based on the academic text verification, the Anti-Plagiarism System generates full and brief similarity reports.

3.5 The full similarity report contains the text that has been verified by the Anti-Plagiarism System.. In this text, fragments identified by the system as similar to texts

located in the Anti-Plagiarism System's databases or in open access on the Internet are highlighted. For these fragments, the report provides hyperlinks that can be followed to view the documents found in the databases or on the Internet. The brief similarity report contains only quantitative characteristics of the work's originality, among which the most important are:

Similarity coefficient No. 1 (hereinafter – the Similarity coefficient) is a value (in percentage) that determines the level of borrowings found in certain sources (databases and the Internet) consisting of text fragments containing at least five words. Exceeding the established value of the similarity coefficient is not an indication of unauthorized borrowings but points to the necessity of a thorough review of the work's text and the full similarity report by a specialist in the work's subject matter.

Quotation Coefficient is the volume of text found within quotation marks.

3.6 Decisions regarding violations of the principles of academic integrity in academic texts, as defined in clause 3.1 of this Regulation, taking into account the results of the Anti-Plagiarism System's verification, are made by:

for qualification papers - commissions for verifying academic plagiarism in the qualification papers of students - are appointed by order of the Head of the University, one month before the start of the examination commission;

for scientific articles in scientific periodicals of the University and collections of scientific papers - the editorial board of the relevant publication or collection;

for dissertations - the Academic Integrity Commission for dissertation research - appointed by order of the University Head;

for educational and methodological literature - the department where the authors of the publication work;

for collections of abstracts of scientific conferences - the organising committee of the conference;

for other academic texts - to the commissions (designated persons) appointed by the relevant orders (directives) of the University.

4. Verification of qualification papers of higher education students

4.1 A student pursuing a Master's or Bachelor's degree, after completing their qualification paper, follows the subsequent algorithm:

signs the declaration of authorship (Appendix 1), in which they attest to adhering to the principles of academic integrity, confirm that they completed the work independently with all appropriate references, and grant permission for their work to be checked;

after receiving the feedback from the supervisor of the qualification paper, submit its electronic version to the graduating department;

expert commissions on university secrets of each academic department conduct an expert assessment of qualification works submitted to the graduating department for the presence or absence of information constituting a state secret. Based on their work, they prepare an Act on the results of the expert assessment of material information

carriers, copies of these acts are sent by the responsible person at the department to the SMD email, along with the qualification papers of all students of the graduating department;

a representative of the SMD verifies the qualification paper using the Anti Plagiarism System and obtains a full similarity report. This report is subsequently transmitted to the commissions responsible for verifying qualification papers for plagiarism at each faculty.

4.2 Regardless of the obtained similarity coefficient value, a researcher of the SMD, who is a member of the commission responsible for verifying qualification papers for plagiarism at each faculty, checks the work for: verbatim borrowing of text fragments without making references to the source, use of information (facts, ideas, formulas, figures, numerical values, etc.) from a source without referring to this source, as well as citation errors (according to clause 1.4 of this provision). Upon detecting the aforementioned deficiencies, the researcher of the SMD makes a corresponding entry in the Act of Verification for Academic Plagiarism in the qualification paper (Appendix 2).

4.3 The similarity indicators for the text, based on the similarity coefficient value for students' qualification papers, are as follows:

no more than 30% - high uniqueness, the paper is admitted for defense;

from 30% to 70% - medium uniqueness, the paper requires revision;

more than 70% - low uniqueness, the paper needs to be completely redone.

4.4 If, according to the value of the similarity coefficient, the work is classified as highly unique, and the review of the full similarity report by the commission confirms the conclusion that there are no unlawful borrowings from other documents in its text, the qualification work is allowed to be defended.

4.5 If, based on the results of the verification, the qualification paper is assessed as medium or low uniqueness, the system operator sends a full similarity report in electronic form to the work's supervisor and the head of the department. These persons must hold an extended meeting of the department, at which a decision is made to finalise (revise) the qualification work, set deadlines for its completion, and decide on the applicant's admission to the defence of the qualification work. The meeting of the department must be attended by representatives of the commission responsible for checking the qualification work of students for plagiarism.

4.6 If the qualification paper of a student pursuing a Master's degree is a continuation of a work completed while obtaining a Bachelor's degree, and the previous qualification paper is already in the Anti-Plagiarism System's database or openly accessible on the Internet, then possible textual overlaps between these two works are not considered plagiarism, provided that the Master's paper includes specific information regarding the scope of work performed by the author at the previous stage, as well as the conclusions previously obtained by them.

4.7 If the qualification paper has been classified as having low uniqueness, and the analysis of the full similarity report, conducted by the department's specialists, confirms an almost complete textual identity between the work and certain documents located on the Internet or in the Anti-Plagiarism System's databases, then the qualification paper requires complete reworking in accordance with clause 4.4 of this Regulation.

4.8 . Based on the results of the academic plagiarism verification of qualification papers submitted by higher education students, the commissions responsible for this verification at each faculty shall complete the Act of Verification for Academic Plagiarism in the Qualification Paper (Appendix 2), which is then bound into the student's qualification paper.

5. Verification of educational and reference publications

5.1 The main types of educational publications at the University are: textbook, study guide, teaching aid, practical guide, teaching aid, methodological recommendations (guidelines), lecture course, lecture notes, etc. The main types of reference publications at the University are: reference book, dictionary (encyclopaedic, explanatory, terminological), practical guide, standard, classifier, codifier, etc.

5.2 Verification of text similarity using the Anti-Plagiarism System is conducted for educational and reference publications submitted to the University's publishing house for consideration by the Methodological and Academic Councils of the University. The verification of educational and methodological literature is carried out by a representative of the SMD, provided that an Act on the Results of Expert Assessment of Material Information Carriers is submitted, concerning the presence or absence of information constituting a state secret within them.

5.3 The indicators for the text, based on the similarity coefficient value, for:

textbooks:

no more than 25% - high uniqueness, recommended for printing;

from 25% to 70% - moderate uniqueness, revision required;

more than 70% - low uniqueness, complete redesign required.

all types of manuals:

no more than 35% - high uniqueness, recommended for printing;

from 35% to 90% - moderate uniqueness, revision required;

more than 90% - low uniqueness, complete redesign required.

methodological recommendations (guidelines), lecture courses, lecture notes, etc:

no more than 50% - high uniqueness, recommended for printing;

from 50% to 90% - moderate uniqueness, requires revision;

more than 90% - low uniqueness, complete redesign required.

reference publications:

no more than 90% - high uniqueness, recommended for printing;

from 50% to 90% - moderate uniqueness, revision required;

more than 90% - low uniqueness, complete redesign required.

5.4 The complete similarity report generated by the Anti-Plagiarism System is presented to the head of the structural unit where most authors publish their work and is discussed during an (extended meeting) of that unit.

5.5 If the value of the similarity coefficient exceeds the recommended limits, two reviewers from among the specialists of this unit (or other units of the University) are appointed at a meeting (extended meeting) of the structural unit where the authors of the publication paper to analyse the full similarity report and the text of the paper. The time frame for their work is also determined.

The results of the reviewers' work are reviewed at the next meeting (extended meeting) of the relevant unit. If academic plagiarism is detected, recommendations for revision or completion of the work are provided, and deadlines for the implementation of these recommendations are set. If academic plagiarism is not detected, a decision is made by open vote by a simple majority to recommend or not to recommend the academic text for submission to the methodological and academic councils of the University.

5.6 If the value of the similarity coefficient does not exceed the recommended indicators and the work meets the established requirements, its results are considered at a meeting of the department. At this meeting, a simple majority vote is taken to recommend or not to recommend the academic text for submission to the Methodological and Academic Councils of the University.

5.7 Based on the results of the meeting (extended meeting) of the structural subdivision, the author(s) is provided with an extract from the minutes of the meeting. This extract, together with the academic text, is submitted for consideration by the methodological and academic councils of the University.

6. Verification of Dissertations of Candidates for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science.

6.1 Verification for textual similarity using the Anti-Plagiarism System is conducted for all dissertations written at the University. This verification is performed after submitting to the operator an Act on the Results of Expert Assessment of Material Information Carriers, concerning the presence or absence of information constituting a state secret contained within the dissertation work materials.

6.2 The recommended indicator of similarity of the text of dissertations by the value of the similarity coefficient is **no more than 20%**

6.3 Verification of dissertation works is carried out at the University in three stages:

At the first stage, the dissertation of Candidates for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science is submitted to the Commission on Academic Integrity in Dissertation Research. The Commission analyses the Anti-Plagiarism System similarity report received from the representative of the SMD. Regardless of the value of the similarity coefficient obtained, members of the commission on academic integrity in dissertation research must analyse the content of borrowings that have been identified by the Anti-Plagiarism System in the dissertation of a doctoral student. A detailed review of the dissertation is required if the recommended similarity coefficient thresholds are exceeded. Based on the results of the analysis of the text of the work and the full similarity report, the members of the Academic Integrity Commission draw up an Act on compliance with the principles of academic integrity in the dissertation and scientific publications that highlight the main scientific results of dissertation research (Appendix 3);

at the second stage, the Act is submitted:

for candidates for higher education at the level of Doctor of Philosophy – the chairperson of the extended meeting of the structural unit responsible for implementing the relevant educational and research programme and training the applicant for higher education.. If facts of violation of academic integrity are revealed, the work is not allowed to be considered at the meeting of the structural unit of the University, and the terms for its revision (completion) are set, which are recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the relevant unit;

for candidates for the degree of Doctor of Sciences - to the head of the structural unit in which the applicant was trained (determined for the preliminary examination of the doctoral dissertation). In the event that the facts of violation of academic integrity are revealed, the work is not allowed for the preliminary examination of the doctoral dissertation, and the deadlines for its revision (completion) are set, which is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the relevant unit;

At the third stage, if the members of the council find academic plagiarism in the dissertation (scientific publications) of a higher education student of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science, the reviewer (official opponent) indicates this in his/her review (feedback). When the University receives a review (feedback) that indicates cases of academic plagiarism, the chairman of the dissertation council (one-time dissertation council) sends the work with the relevant review (feedback) to the Academic Integrity Commission for additional verification of the work. If the commission confirms the case of academic plagiarism detected in the work or if the dissertation council (one-time dissertation council) has found evidence of academic plagiarism in the dissertation and/or scientific publications that highlight the main scientific results of the dissertation, the candidates for withdrawal of the dissertation from defence is not accepted. The Council decides to refuse to confer the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Doctor of Sciences) without the right to resubmit the thesis for defence.

7. Verification of scientific articles

7.1 The Anti-Plagiarism System checks for similarity of texts for all scientific articles submitted to the editorial board for publication in periodicals and collections of scientific papers published at the University, provided that the Act on the results of expert evaluation of material information carriers for the presence or absence of information constituting a state secret is provided.

7.2 The editorial boards of periodicals or collections of scientific papers, taking into account the peculiarities of the texts published in each edition, may approve their own indicators of the originality of the text of scientific articles.

The recommended similarity coefficient for the text of scientific articles is **no more than 25%**.

7.3 If the permissible similarity coefficient is exceeded, the scientific article is usually rejected for publication in the periodical or collection of scientific papers. The decision to accept or reject the article is made by the publication's editorial board.

8. Verification of collections of conference abstracts held at the University

8.1 The Anti-Plagiarism System is used to check for similarity of text for collections of abstracts of all scientific conferences organised and held at the University, provided that an act is provided based on the results of expert evaluation of material information carriers for the presence or absence of information constituting a state secret.

8.2 The organising committee of the conference is responsible for checking the submitted abstracts by the Anti-Plagiarism System.

8.3 The recommended text similarity coefficient for collections of abstracts in terms of the similarity coefficient is **no more than 30%**

8.4 The issue of participation in the conference of a report whose abstracts were not recommended for publication in the collection due to the presence of a large number of borrowings from other documents may be considered at a meeting of the commission, appointed by the chairman of the organising committee of the conference. After reviewing the full report on the similarity of the text of the abstract, the members of the commission decide on the compliance or non-compliance of the identified borrowings with the principles of academic integrity. The results of the commission's work are documented in a formal protocol.

9. Verification of academic texts containing restricted access information

9.1 Academic texts containing classified information are reviewed in two stages: at the first stage, the Anti-Plagiarism System checks the open part of the academic

text. This part is allowed for verification after submission of the Report on the results of the expert assessment of material information carriers for the absence of information constituting a state secret to the Anti-Plagiarism System operator;

at the second stage, the part of the academic text containing information with restricted access is checked by the responsible persons specified in 3.6 of this Regulation (hereinafter referred to as the responsible persons) who have the appropriate security clearance. This part of the work is allowed to be reviewed after the responsible persons have been provided with the Act on the results of the expert assessment of the material information carriers for the presence of information constituting a state secret.

9.2 Mandatory when checking for plagiarism in academic texts containing information with restricted access, the work is checked for the following: verbatim borrowing of text fragments without citing the source, use of information (facts, ideas, formulas, figures, numerical values, etc.) from a source without citing the source, and citation errors (according to clause 1.4 of this provision).

9.3 It is strictly forbidden to use the Anti-Plagiarism System if the academic text contains information with restricted access.

9.4 Based on the results of the inspection, the responsible persons prepare minutes of the meeting of the structural unit, which contain information on the presence or absence of academic plagiarism in the work.

9.5 In case of detection of academic plagiarism in academic texts containing information with restricted access, the responsible persons make a decision on the need to revise (finalise) the work and the terms of revision or refusal to publish, which is drawn up in the form of meeting minutes.

10. Liability for academic plagiarism and the procedure for filing an appeal

10.1 Research and teaching staff, pedagogical, scientific workers and students are personally responsible for the correct work with information sources, compliance with the requirements of scientific ethics, violation of generally accepted citation rules, as well as for preventing academic plagiarism in academic texts in accordance with the procedure established by the legislation of Ukraine and the Code of Academic Integrity of the University.

10.2 In addition, the persons specified in clause 3.6 of this Regulation and reviewers (opponents, supervisors) of the work are responsible for detecting academic plagiarism in academic texts.

10.3 In case of disagreement with the verification results, the author(s) of the academic text has the right to submit an appeal to the Chair of the University's Ethics and Academic Integrity Committee.

10.4 The Chair of the University's Ethics and Academic Integrity Committee organizes a meeting within one week of receiving a complaint. The complainant is notified of the date and time of the meeting at least two days in advance. If the complainant does not attend the meeting, the matter is considered in their absence.

10.5 Following the meeting, the Ethics and Academic Integrity Committee formulates conclusions regarding the confirmation (or refutation) of academic plagiarism. These conclusions are signed by the committee's chair, its members, and the complainant (if present). The conclusions of the Ethics and Academic Integrity Committee are stored in the department where the individual who filed the complaint studies (or works).

11. Payment for the Use of the Anti-Plagiarism System

11.1 . The University covers the cost of Anti-Plagiarism System services for the initial verification of an academic text.

11.2 In the case of sending an academic text to the authors for revision (reworking), subsequent verification of such texts is performed independently by the authors at their own expense. The similarity report is then provided by the authors to the individuals specified in clause 3.6.

12. University repository

12.1 All academic texts developed at the University and recommended for publication must be submitted to the University repository through the SMD.

12.2 Academic texts containing restricted access information are submitted on registered media specifically designated for storing such information.

13. Final provisions

13.1 This Regulation is approved by the University's Academic Council and comes into force from the moment it is enacted by order of the University Head

13.2 All participants in the University's educational and scientific process have the right to submit proposals for amendments and additions to the approved Regulation.

13.3 . Amendments and additions to this Regulation are made and approved in the same manner as the Regulation's approval.

DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP

(military rank, surname, name, patronymic)

(position)

I declare that this qualification work on the topic: _____

(title of work)

and the results presented in it were obtained by me personally. I confirm that:

1. This work was completed in full (partially) during my studies for a degree at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University.

2. If any part of this work has been previously submitted for a degree or any other qualification at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.

3. When quoting the work of other authors, I have always provided a link to the source. With the exception of these references, this paper is written exclusively by me.

4. In cases where my research is based on collaborative work done with other participants, I have clearly indicated what I have contributed and what the other participants have contributed.

5. I have not infringed any copyright or other rights of third parties in the course of writing this paper.

6. This work complies with the principles of academic integrity and ethics.

7. I grant permission to check this work for the uniqueness of the text of this work in a manner that takes into account the requirements for the dissemination of information containing state secrets.

8. I hereby grant permission to publish this work in the repository of the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, taking into account the requirements for the dissemination of information containing state secrets.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Act
of Verification for Academic Plagiarism in the Qualification paper
from __.__.20__ year

in the speciality _____
(code and name of specialty)

on the topic of _____
(topic of qualification work)

cadet _____ study group _____
(title, first name and surname)

work leader _____ i
(position, title, first and last name)

Results of checking the work for academic plagiarism

Scope of work, number of pages	Uniqueness rate, %.	Conclusions on the correctness of borrowings (correct/incorrect)

Conclusions:

1. The level of uniqueness of the cadet's qualification work ____ educational
groups _____
(title, first name and surname)

meets the applicable requirements;

2. The textual borrowings from the works of other authors in this paper are
referenced accordingly;

3. The qualification work does not have signs of academic plagiarism and can
be accepted for defence.

Chairman of the Commission:

Deputy Head of the Faculty for Academic and Scientific Work – Head of the Academic
Department _____

(position, title, signature, first and last name)

Members of the inspection committee:

Head of the department № _____

(position, title, signature, first and last name)

Head of the department № _____

(position, title, signature, first and last name)

Senior Researcher at the Scientific and Methodological Department for Quality
Assurance of Educational Activities and Higher _____

(position, title, signature, first and last name)

Date of verification __.__.202__

Reviewed by: cadet _____ study group _____

(position, title, signature, first and last name)

APPROVED

Deputy Chief of the University for Scientific Work
of Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force
University_____

(academic degree, academic title, name and surname, signature)

_____, 202__

ACT

on compliance with the principles of academic integrity in the dissertation and
scientific publications that highlight the main scientific results

(title, first name and surname)

(position)

degree candidate_____

(Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science)

by speciality_____

(code and name of specialty)

In order to avoid violations of academic integrity (academic plagiarism, self plagiarism, fabrication, falsification) in the performance of dissertation work by doctoral students, associate students and applicants for the degree of Doctor of Science, Doctor of Philosophy (Candidate of Sciences), prevention and detection of academic plagiarism, and in accordance with the Regulations on combating academic plagiarism at the Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, approved by the order of the Head of the University №. ____ dated _____, 202__ the commission established on the basis of the Order of the Head of Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University №. ____ dated _____, 202__, “ On the establishment of a commission on of Academic Integrity in Dissertation Research” as a member:

chairman of the commission: _____

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

members of the commission: _____

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

reviewed the materials of the dissertation and scientific publications that highlight the
main scientific results_____

(title, name and surname of the applicant)

whose thesis is submitted for a degree_____

(Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Science)

in the speciality_____, and found that there were no violations of

(code and name of specialty)

academic integrity (signs of plagiarism) in the dissertation and scientific publications, which highlight the main scientific results, were not detected (or if detected, list which ones).

chairman of the commission: _____
(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

members of the commission: _____
(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)

(position, title, first name, last name, academic degree, academic rank)